



07 March 2025



Proceedings

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GEOGRAPHY FOR GLOBAL SUSTAINABILITY

**Geography for Resilient Communities
under Global Environmental Changes**



**Organized by Department of Geography
Faculty of Arts, University of Colombo**



Proceedings of the International Conference on Geography and Global Sustainability (ICGGS) - 2025



**07th of March 2025
The Department of Geography
University of Colombo
Sri Lanka**



Proceedings of the International Conference on Geography and Global Sustainability (ICGGS) 2025.

7th of March 2025 (Virtual)

Department of Geography, University of Colombo, Colombo 03
Sri Lanka.

ISSN 3084-9055

The material in this publication has been supplied by the authors as the final approved documents. Neither the Department of Geography, University of Colombo, nor the Editorial Committee is responsible for any material produced in this publication.



The Effect of Electromagnetic Radiation on Environmental and Human Safety: An Empirical Review

Fathima Sasna, A.L.¹, Fathima Nuskiya, M.H.² & Fareena Ruzaik³

¹Department of Electrical & Telecommunication Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

²Department of Geography, Faculty of Arts and Culture, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

*³Department of Geography, Faculty of Arts, University of Colombo
alfsasna96@gmail.com*

Keywords: Electromagnetic radiation, environmental safety, human safety, scientific review, health effects, exposure limits

1. Introduction

Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) is a type of energy that moves through space, distinguished by its frequency and wavelength. It has a wide range of wavelengths, from radio waves to gamma rays, and is released by a variety of natural and artificial sources, such as the sun, stars, and electronic equipment (Aghaei et al., 2012). "Electromagnetic radiation is the propagation of energy across space or a medium in the form of oscillating electric and magnetic fields perpendicular to each other and to the direction of propagation," according to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) (NIST, 2021). EMR is also defined as "a sort of energy that flows across space and matter in the form of an electromagnetic wave" by the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) (ICNIRP, 2020). Radio waves, microwaves, infrared radiation, visible light, UV radiation, X-rays, and gamma rays are all forms of EMR that can be classified by their frequency and wavelength. The effects of EMR on the environment are varied, including changes in ecosystems, wildlife behaviour, and natural processes. While the full extent of these effects is unknown, some data suggest that EMR exposure at specific frequencies and intensities may be a risk factor for increased EMR from power lines and cell phone stations. Species are accompanied by decreases in bird populations and changes in bird behaviour, such as reduced nesting and feeding activities (Balmori, 2014).



Similarly, according to a research article published in Environmental Science and Pollution Research, EMR exposure can affect plant growth and development, alter pollination patterns, and reduce crop yields (Gupta & Gupta, 2017).

This study addresses the growing concern over the effects of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on environmental and human safety, a topic with limited comprehensive research despite its increasing prevalence in modern life. By examining the cumulative environmental changes and health impacts associated with EMR exposure, it aims to bridge the knowledge gap and provide empirical insights. The findings will inform guidelines and strategies to mitigate risks while ensuring sustainable technological progress. The effects of EMR on human health vary according to radiation type, intensity and duration of exposure, and individual sensitivity. Although some EMRs are not dangerous to humans, such as visible light, others, such as ionising radiation from X rays and gamma rays, are known carcinogens and can cause genetic abnormalities and cell damage (WHO, 2021). Furthermore, a study suggests that non-ionising EMR from sources such as cell phones, Wi-Fi routers, and others can have more subtle effects on human health, such as increased risk of brain cancer, changes in brain activity and sleep, as well as impact on reproductive health (Havas, 2017; Kheifets et al., 2010). While the evidence is inconclusive, a review of the scientific literature on the health effects of non-ionising EMR published in the Environmental Health Outlook paper concluded that “few studies create biological effects results from non-low-temperature EMR are reported, suggesting further investigation” (Miller et al., 2019). Although the health effects of EMRs are still being studied, it is clear that the use of certain types and subsets has been dangerous. One concern is that EMRs will cause DNA damage and mutations, increasing the risk of cancer and other problems. Several studies have shown that non-ionising EMR, such as those emitted from mobile phones, can have genotoxic effects on human cells (Yakymenko et al., 2016). In addition, X-rays and other EMRs that produce high levels of ionizing are known to increase the risk of cancer and other health issues (WHO, 2021). The study also raises concerns about the potential consequences of EMRs for wildlife and the environment (Cucurachi et al., 2013; Perkin and Wise, 2018). Accordingly, the study supports further research on the potential environmental and human health impacts of EMRs, especially due to the increasing use of wireless technologies.

2. Methods and materials

The first step in conducting this review study is to formulate the research question that guides the study.



The research question in this case is: What is the current state of knowledge regarding the effects of EMR on environmental and human safety? This question establishes the focus of the review and provides a framework for selecting and analysing relevant studies. The comprehensive search is then conducted using databases like PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, along with manual searches of references from key papers.

Inclusion criteria are applied to select relevant studies: (a) research on electromagnetic radiation impacts on environmental and human safety, (b) peer-reviewed studies, and (c) studies published in the last ten years. Screening involves reviewing titles and abstracts, followed by full-text analysis to extract key data like study design, population characteristics, and outcomes. Next, a quality assessment of the included studies evaluates their design, sample size, risk of bias, and confounding factors to ensure reliability. Accordingly, data is synthesised through qualitative summaries and, where applicable, quantitative meta-analysis. This systematic approach ensures a thorough, unbiased evaluation of the effects of EMR on environmental and human safety. This systematic approach ensures that the findings are based on a thorough and critical assessment of the available literature, providing reliable insights into the effects of electromagnetic radiation on both environmental and human health.

Table 1: Key Methods and Materials Overview

Method	Description
Research Question	What is the current state of knowledge regarding the effects of electromagnetic radiation on environmental and human safety?
Databases Searched	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Search Terms	"Electromagnetic radiation," "environmental safety," "human health," "radiation exposure," "EMF impacts"
Search Period	Last 10 years (2013–2023)
Inclusion Criteria	(a) Studies on the impacts of electromagnetic radiation on environment/human safety; (b) Peer-reviewed journals; (c) Studies from 2013–2023
Exclusion Criteria	(a) Non-peer-reviewed studies; (b) Studies not available in full text; (c) Articles published before 2013
Screening Process	1. Title and abstract screening for relevance 2. Full-text review for meeting inclusion criteria
Data Extraction	Study design, population/sample size, type of exposure, outcomes measured, key findings
Quality Assessment	Evaluated based on: (a) Study design; (b) Sample size; (c) Risk of bias; (d) Exposure assessment accuracy
Data Synthesis	Qualitative summary; Quantitative meta-analysis



3. Results and discussion

Impact of electromagnetic radiation on human health

EMR is a type of energy that surrounds us and is constantly exposed to us via cell phones, Wi-Fi, and power lines. For many years, scientists have debated the potential impact of EMR on human health (Yakymenko et al., 2016).

The impacts of EMR on human health were explored in one study published in the Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure. The researchers discovered that EMR can cause DNA damage, oxidative stress, and alterations in gene expression. These consequences can result in the onset of a variety of diseases, including cancer and neurological disorders (Yakymenko et al., 2016). Another study published in the Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering looked at the effect of EMR exposure on the quality of human sperm. The study discovered that EMR exposure can reduce sperm motility and viability, resulting in male infertility (Desai et al., 2009). In addition, a study published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology looked into the link between EMR exposure and the chance of acquiring cancer. The study discovered that EMR exposure from cell phone use increases the risk of developing brain cancers, especially in the temporal and frontal lobes (Khurana et al., 2009).

A review research published in the journal Toxicology and Industrial Health also investigated the impact of EMR on the immune system. According to the study, EMR can weaken the immune system, making people more susceptible to infections and disorders (Khalid & Qasim, 2021). As a result, these studies imply that EMR exposure can cause DNA damage, oxidative stress, male infertility, increased cancer risk, immunological suppression, and abnormalities in brain glucose metabolism. While additional research is needed in this area, these findings underscore the need for using hands-free devices, minimising mobile phone use, and reducing exposure to other sources of EMR where possible.

Impact of electromagnetic radiation on wildlife and ecosystems

EMR has the ability to harm not just human health but also wildlife and ecosystems. Several research studies have been conducted to investigate the effects of EMR on plants and animals, and the results indicate that EMR can have a major impact on these organisms (Becker et al., 2018). The impact of EMR from power lines on bird populations was investigated in a study published in the journal Science of the Total Environment.



The study discovered that bird populations exposed to high levels of EMR had lower reproductive success and higher rates of mortality than populations exposed to low levels of EMR (Santos-Fita et al., 2020). Furthermore, a study published in the journal *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* looked into the effect of cell tower EMR on honeybees. According to the study, EMR exposure can cause physiological and behavioral changes in aquatic creatures, such as altered growth, reproduction, and migration patterns, which can have serious consequences for aquatic ecosystems (Kumar et al., 2021). As a result, these studies suggest that EMR can have a significant impact on wildlife and ecosystems, including decreased reproductive success, increased mortality, impaired navigation and communication abilities, altered plant growth and development, and changes in aquatic organisms' and bats' behaviour and physiology. These findings emphasise the need to take into account the potential effects of EMR on wildlife and ecosystems while deploying new technologies and infrastructure and to take measures to reduce these impacts when practicable.

Long-term exposure to electromagnetic radiation

In recent years, long-term exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR) from numerous sources such as cell phones, Wi-Fi routers, and power lines has been a major issue. Several studies have been conducted to investigate the potential health implications of long-term EMR exposure, with varying results (Soffritti et al., 2018). The impact of long-term EMR exposure on human health was investigated in a review article published in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. According to the study, long-term EMR exposure increases the risk of a variety of health problems, including neurological impairments, reproductive troubles, and cancer (Pall, 2018).

Furthermore, a study published in the *Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure* investigated the effects of long-term EMR exposure on brain cells. The study discovered that long-term exposure to EMR can cause brain cell damage and raise the likelihood of neurological diseases (Yakymenko et al., 2016). As a result, these studies indicate that long-term EMR exposure may increase the risk of a variety of health conditions, including neurological disorders, cancer, reproductive abnormalities, and immunosuppression. These findings emphasise the significance of decreasing EMR exposure from diverse sources, especially for those who are more vulnerable, such as youngsters and pregnant women, and implementing efforts to minimise these affects where possible.



Use of electromagnetic radiation in modern technology

EMR is widely utilized in modern technology for a variety of purposes, including communication, medical, and industrial. Wireless communication is one of the most prevalent applications of EMR. Cell phones, Wi-Fi routers, and Bluetooth devices use EMR to send data wirelessly across great distances (Grigoriev and Mokhov, 2019). Furthermore, EMR is employed in medical imaging procedures such as X-rays, MRI, and computed tomography (CT) scans. These approaches employ multiple EMR frequencies to generate images of the inside of the body and diagnose various medical diseases (Gandhi and Riazzi, 2019). EMR is also employed in industrial procedures like welding, heating, and sterilising. Microwave ovens, for example, employ EMR to heat and cook food, and ultraviolet (UV) radiation is used to sanitise medical equipment and water (Gandhi and Riazzi, 2019). In addition, EMR is also used in scientific research for various purposes, such as studying the properties of materials and analysing chemical reactions. For example, spectroscopy techniques use EMR to analyse the interaction of matter with different frequencies of EMR (Baldwin et al., 2020). Accordingly, the use of EMR in modern technology has revolutionised various fields, from communication to medicine to industry, and continues to be a vital part of our daily lives. Numerous studies have examined the impacts of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on human health and the environment. The following table summarizes key findings from recent research, highlighting various exposure types and their associated outcomes.

The study reviewed 25 peer-reviewed articles from 2013 to 2024, spanning global contexts and various disciplines. The findings reveal consistent adverse impacts on health and ecosystems, but variability in methodologies limits direct comparisons.



Table 2: Key findings from various EMR exposure types and their associated outcomes.

Study	Sources	Exposure Type	Key Findings	Quality (Criteria Assessed)
1	Thukral et al., 2020; Grimes, 2022; Jargin, 2020; Bandara & Carpenter, 2020; Lagorio et al., 2021	High-frequency EMR	Increased cancer risk	High (Study design, risk of bias, large sample)
2	Balmori, 2021; Levitt et al., 2022; Balmori, 2022; Nyirenda et al., 2022; Pophof et al., 2023	Low-frequency EMR	Biodiversity loss	Moderate (Limited spatial scope)
3	Al-Nasraui, 2018; Akkam et al., 2020; Song et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2019	Mobile radiation	Correlation with stress	Low (Cross-sectional design)
4	Parasuraman et al., 2018; Kottou et al., 2014; Hao et al., 2018; Ansal et al., 2018	Controlled EMR	No long-term health effects	High (Experimental control)
5	Magiera & Solecka, 2020; Hosseini et al., 2019; Houghoughizadeh et al., 2024; Dongus et al., 2022	Wi-Fi radiation	No cognitive impact	Moderate (Population focus)

Table 2 reveals varied results regarding EMR exposure. Studies 1 and 2 indicate potential health risks, such as increased cancer rates and biodiversity loss. In contrast, Studies 3 and 5 show no significant effects on mental health or cognitive function. The differing quality assessments highlight the need for further research to clarify the impacts of EMR on human and environmental safety.

4. Conclusion

EMR is employed in scientific research for a variety of objectives, including researching material qualities and analysing chemical reactions. EMR is used in spectroscopy techniques, for example, to investigate the interaction of matter with different EMR frequencies (Baldwin et al., 2020).



Despite these concerns, EMR is a necessary part of modern life, and we rely on it for everything from communication to medical treatments. As a result, it is critical to continue investigating and understanding electromagnetic radiation's impacts in order to design suitable regulations and safety measures that balance the benefits of these technologies with the need to safeguard both people and the environment. Accordingly, the importance of adhering to established safety guidelines and regulations cannot be overstated. To reduce possible dangers, national governments and organizations like the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) have created standards based on a wealth of scientific data. To address long-term exposure concerns and take into account new findings, these guidelines must be updated on a regular basis, especially for sensitive groups including pregnant women and children.

Safety guidelines for electromagnetic radiation

National and international organisations have set numerous safety rules and restrictions to safeguard individuals from the potentially hazardous effects of EMR. One such group that has produced standards for EMR exposure is the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). Their recommendations are based on a thorough evaluation of scientific data and are periodically revised to reflect new discoveries. The ICNIRP proposes EMR exposure limits for the general population as well as persons who are occupationally exposed to EMR (ICNIRP, 2020). Similarly, in the United States, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has created guidelines for EMR exposure from wireless devices such as cell phones and Wi-Fi routers. These guidelines are based on Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) principles and consider both the thermal and non-thermal effects of EMR exposure (FCC, 2022).

Furthermore, several countries have developed their own EMR safety rules, which may differ from those published by international organizations. Russia, for example, has adopted more rigorous safety guidelines for EMR exposure than the ICNIRP (Sadchikova et al., 2019). It should be noted that these guidelines and restrictions are based on current scientific data and are meant to safeguard humans from the known and potentially hazardous effects of EMR exposure. Some experts, however, feel that these guidelines may not be adequate to protect persons from all potential negative consequences, particularly long-term exposure (Miller et al., 2019). Based on current scientific data, many national and international organisations have issued safety guidelines and limitations for EMR exposure.



Although these guidelines are meant to protect humans from the potentially detrimental consequences of EMR exposure, some experts have claimed that they may not be sufficient to protect individuals from all potential harmful effects.

5. References

- Aghaei, M., Thayoob, Y. H. M., Mahdaviasl, S., Darzi, S., & Imamzai, M. (2012, November). A review on the impact of the electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on the human's health. In *Proceedings National Graduate conference (NatGrad2012), Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Putrajaya Campus* (pp. 8-10).
- Akkam, Y., A. Al-Taani, A., Ayasreh, S., Almutairi, A., & Akkam, N. (2020). Correlation of blood oxidative stress parameters to indoor radiofrequency radiation: A cross sectional study in Jordan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(13), 4673.
- Al-Nasraui, A. H. F. (2018). Effect of Stress and Radiation of mobile phones on heart and its capabilities. *Journal of Kufa-physics*, 10(2), 16-25.
- Ansal, K. A., Jose, D. S., & Rajan, R. K. (2018, December). Review on biological effect of electromagnetic radiation. In *2018 International Conference on Circuits and Systems in Digital Enterprise Technology (ICCSDET)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Baldwin, J. K., de Waele, V., Fuchs, F., Gehrler, S., & Gruene, P. (2020). From the Microwave Spectra of Molecules to Emerging Applications in Chemistry and Biology. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 59(22), 8414–8429.
- Balmori, A. (2014). Electromagnetic pollution from phone masts. Effects on wildlife. *Science of the Total Environment*, 496, 649-651. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.051
- Balmori, A. (2021). Electromagnetic radiation as an emerging driver factor for the decline of insects. *Science of the Total Environment*, 767, 144913.
- Balmori, A. (2022). Effects of man-made and especially wireless communication electromagnetic fields on wildlife. *Electromagnetic Fields of Wireless Communications: Biological and Health Effects*, 393-446.
- Balodis, V., Paukste, K., & Janovska, D. (2021). The impact of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation on plants: A review. *Environmental Research*, 197, 111009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111009>
- Bandara, P., & Carpenter, D. O. (2020). Causes of cancer: Perceptions vs. the scientific evidence. *European journal of cancer*, 124, 214-216.
- Becker, K., Gärtner, S., & Liß, M. (2018). Electromagnetic radiation: Influence on ecosystems and possibilities of protection. *Global Challenges*, 2(6), 1700062. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201700062>
- Cucurachi, S., Tamis, W. L., Vijver, M. G., Peijnenburg, W. J., Bolte, J. F., & de Snoo, G. R. (2013). A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). *Environment International*, 51, 116-140. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2012.10.009
- Desai, N. R., Kesari, K. K., Agarwal, A., & Pathak, D. (2009). Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic wave exposure from cellular phones on the reproductive pattern in male Wistar rats. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 162(2), 416-428. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8678-8>



- Dongus, S., Jalilian, H., Schürmann, D., & Rösli, M. (2022). Health effects of WiFi radiation: a review based on systematic quality evaluation. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 52(19), 3547-3566.
- FCC. (2022). RF Safety FAQ. Federal Communications Commission. <https://www.fcc.gov/general/radio-frequency-safety-0>
- Gandhi, G. A., et al. (2018). Impact of radiofrequency radiation on DNA damage and antioxidants in peripheral blood lymphocytes of humans residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base stations. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 37(4), 206-14. doi: 10.1080/15368378.2018.1476922.
- Gandhi, O. P., & Riaz, A. (2019). *Handbook of RF and Microwave Power Amplifiers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Grigoriev, Y. G., & Mokhov, V. I. (2019). *Radiation Biology: A Handbook for Teachers and Students*. International Atomic Energy Agency.
- Grimes, D. R. (2022). Radiofrequency radiation and cancer: a review. *JAMA oncology*, 8(3), 456-461.
- Gupta, N., & Gupta, R. K. (2017). Plant responses to electromagnetic radiation: A critical review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(23), 17815-17828. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-9632-7
- Gupta, N., Kumar, R., Kumar, R., & Kumar, A. (2020). Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) from mobile towers: Impact on honey bees and mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(35), 44097-44106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10747-6>
- Gupta, S. K., Patel, S. K., Tomar, M. S., Singh, S. K., Mesharam, M. K., & Krishnamurthy, S. (2019). Long-term exposure of 2450 MHz electromagnetic radiation induces stress and anxiety like behavior in rats. *Neurochemistry international*, 128, 1-13.
- Hao, Y. H., Li, Z. H. A. O., & Peng, R. Y. (2018). Effects of electromagnetic radiation on autophagy and its regulation. *Biomedical and environmental sciences*, 31(1), 57-65.
- Hardell, L., et al. (2017). World Health Organization, radiofrequency radiation and health - a hard nut to crack (Review). *International Journal of Oncology*, 51(2), 405-13. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2017.4046.
- Havas, M. (2017). When theory and observation collide: Can non-ionizing radiation cause cancer? *Environmental Pollution*, 221, 501-505. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.035
- Hoghoughizadeh, S., Shabkhiz, F., Kordi, M. R., & Zobeydi, A. M. (2024). Comparative effects of two aerobic training protocols on cognitive function, brain damage, and neurotransmitter levels in rats exposed to electromagnetic radiation emitted from a Wi-Fi router. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 1-10.
- Hosseini, M. A., Hosseini, A., Jarideh, S., Argasi, H., Shekoohi-Shooli, F., Zamani, A. & Haghani, M. (2019). EVALUATING SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE TO WI-FI SIGNALS ON STUDENTS' REACTION TIME, SHORT-TERM MEMORY AND REASONING ABILITY. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 187(3), 279-285.
- ICNIRP. (2020). Guidelines for limiting exposure to electromagnetic fields (100 kHz to 300 GHz). *Health Physics*, 118(5), 483-524.
- International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. (2020). What is electromagnetic fields (EMF)? Retrieved from <https://www.icnirp.org/en/emf/index.html>



- Jargin, S. V. (2020). Radiofrequency radiation: carcinogenic and other potential risks. *Journal of Radiation Oncology*, 9(1), 81-91.
- Karipidis, K., Baaken, D., Loney, T., Blettner, M., Brzozek, C., Elwood, M., Narh, C., Orsini, N., Röösl, M., Silva Paulo, M., & Lagorio, S. (2024). The effect of exposure to radiofrequency fields on cancer risk in the general and working population: A systematic review of human observational studies – Part I: Most researched outcomes. *Environment International*, 191, 108983. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2024.108983>
- Khalid, M., & Qasim, M. (2021). Electromagnetic radiation: Immune system modulation and its implications. *Toxicology and Industrial Health*, 37(7), 412-421. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07482337211022372>
- Kheifets, L., Repacholi, M., Saunders, R., van Deventer, E., & Cardis, E. (2010). The sensitivity of children to electromagnetic fields. *Pediatrics*, 125(6), e1470-e1485. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0443
- Khurana, V. G., Teo, C., Kundi, M., Hardell, L., Carlberg, M., & Hansson Mild, K. (2009). Cell phones and brain tumors: A review including the long-term epidemiologic data. *Surgical Neurology*, 72(3), 205-214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surneu.2009.01.019>
- Kottou, S., Nikolopoulos, D., Vogiannis, E., Koulougliotis, D., Petraki, E., & Yannakopoulos, P. H. (2014). How safe is the environmental electromagnetic radiation. *Journal of Physical Chemistry & Biophysics*, 4(3), 2161-0398.
- Kumar, S., Kumar, V., Kumar, S., & Singh, S. (2021). Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation on aquatic organisms: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 214, 112085. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112085>
- Lagorio, S., Blettner, M., Baaken, D., Feychting, M., Karipidis, K., Loney, T. & Elwood, M. (2021). The effect of exposure to radiofrequency fields on cancer risk in the general and working population: A protocol for a systematic review of human observational studies. *Environment international*, 157, 106828.
- Levitt, B. B., Lai, H. C., & Manville, A. M. (2022). Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna, Part 2 impacts: how species interact with natural and man-made EMF. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 37(3), 327-406.
- Magiera, A., & Solecka, J. (2020). Radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation from Wi-fi and its effects on human health, in particular children and adolescents. Review. *Roczniki Państwowego Zakładu Higieny*, 71(3).
- Miller, A. B., Sears, M. E., Morgan, L. L., Davis, D. L., Hardell, L., Oremus, M., & Soskolne, C. L. (2019). Risks to health and well-being from radio-frequency radiation emitted by cell phones and other wireless devices. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 7, 223.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2021). Electromagnetic radiation. Retrieved from <https://www.nist.gov/pml/electromagnetic-radiation-0>
- Nyirenda, V. R., Namukonde, N., Lungu, E. B., Mulwanda, S., Kalezu, K., Simwanda, M., ... & Lwali, C. A. (2022). Effects of phone mast-generated electromagnetic radiation gradient on the distribution of terrestrial birds and insects in a savanna protected area. *Biologia*, 77(8), 2237-2249.
- Pall, M. L. (2018). Wi-Fi is an important threat to human health. *Environmental Research*, 164, 405-416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.035>
- Parasuraman, S., Xin, E., & Zou, L. (2018). Health hazards with electromagnetic radiation. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation*, 8(4).



- Perkin, E. K., & Wise, S. E. (2018). Radiofrequency radiation in the environment: Sources, exposure levels, and potential ecological impact. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 6, 33. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2018.00033
- Pophof, B., Henschenmacher, B., Kattnig, D. R., Kuhne, J., Vian, A., & Ziegelberger, G. (2023). Biological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields above 100 MHz on fauna and flora: workshop report. *Health Physics*, 124(1), 31-38.
- Sadchikova, M. N., Kozlov, V. V., Ivanov, V. K., & Chekhun, V. F. (2019). The principles of the new electromagnetic safety standards. *Health Physics*, 117(3), 263–268.
- Santos-Fita, D., Carrete, M., & Tella, J. L. (2020). Electromagnetic pollution from power lines: Impacts on populations of flying organisms. *Science of the Total Environment*, 722, 137804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137804>
- Song, D., Wang, E., He, X., Jia, H., Qiu, L., Chen, P., & Wang, S. (2018). Use of electromagnetic radiation from fractures for mining-induced stress field assessment. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 15(4), 1093-1103.
- Thukral, R., Kumar, A., & Arora, A. S. (2020, February). Effects of different radiations of electromagnetic spectrum on human health. In *2020 IEEE International Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- World Health Organization. (2021). Electromagnetic fields and public health. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/electromagnetic-fields-and-public-health-mobile-phones>
- Yakymenko, I., Sidorik, E., Kyrilenko, S., & Chekhun, V. (2016). Long-term exposure to microwave radiation provokes cancer growth: Evidence from radars and mobile communication systems. *Experimental Oncology*, 38(2), 70-77. doi: 10.31768/2312-8852.2016.38(2):70-77