## A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE EFFICACY OF KAṢĀYA KALPANĀ & GHANASĀRA KALPANĀ WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PATŌLĀDI KAṢĀYA FOR AMLAPITTA



Thesis submitted for the Degree of Master of Philosophy (Äyurveda)

Institute of Indigenous Medicine, University of Colombo.

## S.L.Munasinghe

Supervisor

Co Supervisor

Dr (Mrs.) D.K.Vithanage
D.A.M.S.(Hons.)
M.D. Rasasastra & Bhaişajyakalpana
G.A.U. Jamnagar, India.
Head of the Department
Institute of Indigenous Medicine,
University of Colombo.

Dr (Mrs.) S.J.Nawaratna. M.B.B.S.(Cey.)D.E.M(Col.)

Department of Dravyaguna Vignāna Institute of Indigenous Medicine University of Colombo Rajagiriya Colombo SRI LANKA

## **SUMMARY**

The aim of this study was to investigate the validity to claim using kaṣāya (Decoction) and ghanasāra (semi-solid extract) kalpana. Patōlādi kaṣāya is recommended for the treatment of Amlapitta in Ayurvedic system of Medicine. Vitiated of pitta dosa is the main causative factor of Amlapitta. This vitiated dosa could be subsides by using drugs having the pitta samana property. Drug having madhura,tikta rasa.Madhura vipāka, laghu guna and sita virya is the best which could be selected for this purpose According to pharmacological action produced by Patoladi kaṣāya as shown in chapter two. Patōlādi kaṣāya and ghanasāra has evaluated the efficacy of these two kalpanās. Water soluble extract of kasaya were prepared according to Auṣhadha Sangraha and semi solid water soluble form of ghanasāra were prepared according to bhaiṣajya kalpanā vignāna.

For this experimental study Cross bred Albino rats were used and gastric lesions were induced in healthy adult male rats (175-225g) using absolute ethanol. Either 1mL of distilled water (n=18) or 1mL of different concentration of freshly prepared kaṣāya or ghanasāra (30%, 15%, or 7.5%) was orally administered (n=6/ treatment group) 30min. before ethanol administration, 1h following ethanol treatment the animals were killed by an over dose of ether, the stomachs were removed and numbers and length of lesions were recorded.

Both kaṣāya and ghanasāra significantly impaired gastric lesions (measured in terms of length and number) in a dose depended manner with equal potency (Ec<sub>50</sub>) for kaṣāya length of lesions 12%, number of lesions 11%, (Ec<sub>50</sub>) for ghanasāra length of lesions 12%, number of lesions 16%) Patoladi kasaya increased carbohydrate content and decreased peptic activity significantly in pylorus ligated rats (n=6). Further the Patōladi kaṣāya significantly increased the amount of mucus adhered to gastric lining (using Alcian blue technique). It was concluded that Patōladi kaṣāya (both in kasaya and ghanasāra form) prosses potent gastroprotective activity as claimed in Ayurvedic 168

medicine. Thus it may be justifiable to therapeutically use Patoladi kaṣāya either in kaṣāya or ghanasāra form for the treatment of Amlapitta. The present study was done on 40 patients selected from O.P.D. at the Āyurvedic Teaching Hospital at Borella. The test drug Patoladi kaṣāya was given to two forms. That were kaṣāya form ghanasāra form.

The dose of 120 mL of kaṣāya was prescribed for two times a day before meals.

The dose of 5g of ghanasara was prescribed for two times a day before meals.

These two durgs were prescribed for a short period of 7 days. The diet free from excessive spicy and sour items was advised. The patients were followed with treatment for 1-2 months. At the onset a general preface out-lining the historical aspect of the subject was given. The animal research had been done as a part of the study, prior to the commencement of clinical study.

In the clinical study the patients were diagnosed by presence of 'Dāha' (burning sensation of abdomen), 'Śūla' (pain in abdomen and Hrt), 'Utklēśa' (nausea), 'Chardi' (vomiting),'Amla','tikta' udgāra (Bitter and sour eructation) these symptoms are disturbances in digestion. For this research gastric juice of the human being are not analyzed because according to the animal research this drug did not reduce the acidity of gastric juice.

Symptoms relived by Patoladi kaṣaya and ghanasāra were analyzed into major symptoms like 'dāha, 'śūla', 'chardi', 'amlodgara' and 'utklēsa'. General symptoms such as 'aruci' 'gurukostha', 'agnimāndaya', 'avipāka' and 'gourava' etc. Associated symptoms such as 'śirṣaśūla', 'angamarda', 'angasāda', 'bhrama' and 'klama' etc. had being discussed separatly.

Results of Patōládi *kaṣāya* on Amlapitta. The relief of 'dāha' 60.7% which was highly significant. Relief of 'sūla' was 59.4% and in 'chardi' 61.1% both were highly significant at the level of P<0.001 and on 'amlōdgāra' 57% relief which was also highly significant. According above facts Patoladi *kasaya* had significant relief in all cardinal symptoms of this disease (Table No. 4 –23). 'Amlodgar' was little lower in comparison to 'dāha', 'sūla', 'chardi', and 'utklēsa' but all symptoms were statistically significant at the level of P<0.001. Result of Patōladi *ghanasāra* on cardinal symptoms of Amlapitta. The relief of 'dāha' 65%. In 'sūla' was 74.4%, In 'chardi' was 75% and in 'amlōdgāra' was 67.5% all the above symptoms statistically singnificant at the level of 0.001 but 'utklesa' of *ghanasāra* treated group had 41.7% relief, and it was significant at the level of P<0.01 with comparison of *kaṣāya* and *ghanasāra* of the symptoms of utklēsa. In *kaṣāya* it was more significant than *ghanasāra*. (Table No. 4 – 23,24).

These symptoms were produced due to 'Annavaha srotodusti', hence the Patōlādi kaṣāya had good curing effect on 'Annavaha Srotas'. Genaral symptomor Aruci was relieved by 68.8%. Guru kostha was relieved by 70%. 'Agni mandaya' was relieved by 53.3%. Avipāka was relieved by 65.67% and 'Admana' were relieved by 65.6%. All genaral symptoms of 'Aruci', 'Gurukōstha', 'Agnimandaya', 'Avipāka' and 'Ādmana' of general symptoms statistically significant at the level of P<0.001. But only relief of 'Gourava' was 60% that was statistically significant at the level of 0.01. Results of Patoladi *Ghanasāra* on Amlapitta patients. The relief of Aruchi was \$65.4% and in 'Gurukostha' was 65.4% in 'Agnimāndaya' was 60% in 'Avipāka' was 61.3%, in 'Ādmāna' was 60.9% and all 'Aruci', 'Gurukōstha', 'Agnimāndaya', 'Avipāka' and 'Ādmāna' of General symptoms statistically significant at the level of P<0.001.But only relief of 'Gourava' was 55%, that was statistically significant at the level of 0.01. But comparison of both kaṣāya amd 'statistically significant at the level of P<0.01 (Table No. 4–25,26).

Result of Patoladi kaṣaya of associated symptoms of Amlapitta the relief of 'sirṣasūla' 55.9%, in relief of 'angamarda' was s56% and 'angasada' was 62.4% and 'bhrama' was 68.4% and 'klama' was 53.3%.

All above four symptoms statistically significant at the level of P<0.001 only relief of mutradāha' was 75% but it had statistically significant at the level of P<0.01.

Consideration of relief in associated symptoms of Patoladi *Ghanasāra* treated group showed that relief of 'sirsasul'a was 59.5% in 'angamarda' was 57.1% in 'angasada' was 68.4%. These three symptoms statistically significant at the level of P<0.001 relief of 'bhrama' was 53.3% and 'klama' was 61.5 Both symptoms were statistically significant at the level of P<0.01 only symptom relief of 'mutradāha' was 50%. That was statistically significant at the level of P<0.05 (Table No. 4-27,28) When used the one sample sign test for all cardinal 'general and associated symptoms of Amlapitta Were statistically significant at the level of P<0.05.